ARVO International Advocacy Toolkit: Germany

1. Which organization(s) are significant sources of research funding? (e.g., national/local governments; private funding/foundations/charity groups; large non-governmental organizations (NGOs); industry/pharmaceutical companies)

National

- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) - https://www.dfg.de/index.jsp
- Volkswagenstiftung (VW-Stiftung) - https://www.volkswagenstiftung.de/de
- Bundesministerium Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) - https://www.bmbf.de/bmbf/de/home/home_node.html
- Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft (FhG) - https://www.fraunhofer.de/en.html
- Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft (HGF) - https://www.helmholtz.de/en/
- Max-Planck-Gesellschaft (MPG) - https://www.mpg.de/en
- Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) - https://www.daad.de/de/

Further funding opportunities can be found here:
- https://www.academics.de/ratgeber/forschungsfoerderung#subnav_forschungsfoerderung_in_der_eu

For overview, see:
- https://www.academics.de/ratgeber/forschungsfoerderung#subnav_linktipps_zu_stipendien

European Union (EU) level grants are awarded also by the European Research Council (ERC) or through the ERA-net program.
- ERC - https://erc.europa.eu/homepage
- ERA-NET - https://www.neuron-eranet.eu/

Local

- Ministries of the different States (Länder)
- Local grants by the Universities or the non-university Research Centers

Private
Non-government organizations (NGOs)

- Gabriele Lederle Stiftung - https://www.lederle-stiftung.info/
- Deutsches Stiftungszentrum - https://www.deutsches-stiftungszentrum.de/stiftungen

Industry

- Industry grants are awarded by the corporations themselves (contract research).

2. What does the normal science funding/policy decision-making process look like?

Which groups/committee/person within the funding/policy-decision making process look like?

Varies considerably between the different organizations (typically peer-review).

What are the criteria the funding/policy organization(s) use to make their decisions?

Varies considerably between the different organizations (typically peer-review).

3. Which patient advocacy groups, if any, are active in the area?

- Deutscher Blinden und Sehbehindertenverband - https://www.dbsv.org/
- Pro-Retina - https://www.pro-retina.de
- Verband für Sehbehinderten-Pädagogik - https://www.vbs.eu/de/startseite/

4. Are there existing national/regional organizations that work towards improving research funding/policy?

None

5. How do scientists currently contribute to the existing funding/policymaking/advocacy process, if at all?

Varies, but typically scientists are involved in program design and peer-review.
6. When are science funding/policy decisions made?
   Varies between the different organizations (typically peer-review). Major research initiatives are typically made at the EU-level by the European Research Council (ERC).

7. What kinds of opportunities exist for scientists to interact with funders and policymakers?

   Can scientists invite decision makers to their lab/institution to see their work firsthand?

   This is not typical and happens mostly in program projects that have different sub-projects.

   Do the funding/policy organizations hold open meetings or solicit comments from the public that researchers can participate in?

   Varies considerably, but it can happen in different funding organizations such as VW-Stiftung and BMBF-calls.
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